

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)	MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Daniel Gubler et al.)	Group Art Unit: 3725
Application No.: 10/551,838)	Examiner: DANA ROSS
Filed: July 20, 2006)	Confirmation No.: 6230
For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCING)	
DENTAL PROSTHESES)	
)	
)	
)	

RESPONSE TO LACK OF UNITY HOLDING

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Paragraph "2" of the Official Action dated October 23, 2008 indicates that the claims in this application are directed to two different groups, including Group I, recited in Claims 18-25, drawn to a blank for producing dental prostheses, and Group II, recited in Claims 26-31, drawn to a process for producing dental prostheses.

However, in paragraph "4", the Examiner states that only non-elected claims drawn to a blank are presented. Accordingly, it is not clear whether the Examiner's assertion is that Claims 26-31 are drawn to a blank, or that they to a process.

Should the Examiner assert that Claims 26-31 are drawn to a blank, Applicants respectfully disagree. Claim 26 clearly recites "a process for producing a blank according to Claim 1" and does not positively recite any structure. Accordingly, the claim is a process claim.